THE BACKGROUND AND CONTENTS OF THE PROPOSED SOUTH AFRICAN CHARTER OF RELIGIOUS RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS
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“So in everything, do to others what you would have them do to you” Mat 7:12

1. INTRODUCTION

The quest for the ideal relationship between people and the governments ruling over them is nothing new, and numerous theories, views and approaches to ensure a just relationship between rulers and their subjects have prevailed at different junctions of human history. These theories and approaches primarily relate to forms of state and of government, but also to the substantive balancing of interests between the state and its subjects.¹ In our age, a just relationship is believed to be found not only through democratic institutions and processes, but in particular through the constitutional protection of human rights. Consequently, most modern constitutions contain a so-called bill of rights that –

(a) defines the fundamental rights of people;
(b) prescribes to whom and how the bill of rights applies; and
(c) regulates how and when the rights in the bill of rights may be limited lawfully.

As a legal instrument, a bill of rights therefore regulates the relationship between the people and the state, and among the people themselves. In most states, including the bill of rights in the constitution makes sense, as the constitution is entrenched, and the courts are vested with the power to enforce the constitution.

The history of humankind is also the history of religious intolerance, discrimination, domination and persecution.² It therefore comes as no surprise that the right to freedom of religion³ is recognised in most prominent international human rights instruments,⁴ as well as in the bills of rights of many individual countries.⁵ The South African Constitution of 1996 is no

---

¹ Rautenbach IM and Malherbe EFJ Constitutional Law (2009) 7. Other recent theories and approaches in this regard include the so-called rule of law doctrine and the concept of the constitutional state.
³ Religious freedom has been defined in R v Big M Drug Mart Ltd (1985) 13 CRR 64 at 97 as follows: “The essence of the concept of freedom of religion is the right to entertain such beliefs as a person chooses, the right to declare religious beliefs openly and without fear of hindrance or reprisal, and the right to manifest religious belief by worship and practice or by teaching and dissemination.”
⁵ Durham WC “Perspectives on religious liberty: a comparative framework” in Van der Vyver and Witte (n 2) Vol I 1-44.
exception. In this paper, recent developments in South Africa concerning the protection of religious freedom are explored, specifically in respect of the proposed South African Charter of Religious Rights and Freedoms. By way of background, the South African democratic constitutional framework, in existence now for a decade and a half, is explained briefly, with emphasis on the supremacy of the Constitution, and the justiciable Bill of Rights forming part of the Constitution. This discussion is followed by a justification for the adoption of a South African Charter of Religious Rights and Freedoms, a brief explanation of the main features of the Charter, and a few remarks about the way forward.

2. THE SOUTH AFRICAN CONSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 CONSTITUTIONAL SUPREMACY

The South African Constitution of 1996 is the remarkable outcome of the historic transformation process that took place in the country during the early nineties. The Constitution represents and embodies in legal terms the principles and main features of the new democratic constitutional order. The Constitution is the supreme law of the Republic of South Africa. Law or conduct that is inconsistent with the Constitution is invalid to the extent of its inconsistency, and the obligations imposed by the Constitution must be fulfilled. The Constitution is entrenched and special procedures and majorities apply to its amendment. The courts are formally vested with the power to invalidate any law or action which is inconsistent with the Constitution. All legislative and executive bodies, including Parliament, are subject to the Constitution. All high courts have constitutional jurisdiction, but a special Constitutional Court was added as the spearhead of judicial control. In Executive Council of the Western Cape Legislature v President of the RSA the Constitutional Court emphasised the supremacy of the Constitution as follows: “It is of crucial importance at this early stage of the development of our new constitutional order, to establish respect for the principle that the Constitution is supreme.”

---

9 S 2 of the Constitution.
10 S 74. The Constitution has been amended several times since coming into effect, mainly because of the activities of a standing parliamentary committee responsible for the annual review of the Constitution (s 45(1)(d)(iii) of the Constitution.
11 S 172(1)(a). Many provisions in acts of Parliament have been invalidated over the last decade and a half, mainly for being in violation of a right in the Bill of Rights.
12 President of the RSA v Hugo 1997 6 BCLR 708 (CC) par 28.
13 S 167. The Constitutional Court is the highest court for constitutional matters (s 167(3)(a)). Any high court may invalidate an act of Parliament or of a provincial legislature, but such invalidation must be confirmed by the Constitutional Court (s 172(2)(a)).
14 1995 10 BCLR 1289 (CC) par 100.
2.2 THE BILL OF RIGHTS\[^{15}\]

Given the background of apartheid, the Constitution states unequivocally that it aims to eliminate the discrimination, inequality and injustice of the past, and that the protection of human rights is accordingly a major focus and objective in the new South Africa.

- The Preamble states that the Constitution has been adopted to heal the divisions of the past and create a society based on democratic values, social justice and basic human rights.
- Section 1 states that human dignity, the achievement of equality and the advancement of human rights and freedoms is one of the founding values of the Republic.\[^{16}\]
- Section 7 states that the Bill of Rights included in chapter 2 of the Constitution is the cornerstone of democracy in South Africa, and that it affirms the democratic values of human dignity, equality and freedom.\[^{17}\]

The rights guaranteed in the Bill of Rights cover a wide spectrum of human interests and activities\[^{18}\] and has had a profound impact on the legal system as a whole. Each right protects certain interests and conduct of those persons protected under the Bill of Rights. The Bill of Rights applies to all law and binds all legislative, executive, judicial and other organs of state.\[^{19}\]

It also applies to private relationships.\[^{20}\] The state has an obligation to respect, protect, promote and fulfil the rights in the Bill of Rights\[^{21}\] but, indeed, everybody has the inherent duty to respect the rights of others. The rights mentioned in the Bill of Rights may be limited only in accordance with the general and specific limitation provisions in the Bill.\[^{22}\] In order to have the widest


\[^{16}\]S 1(a).

\[^{17}\]S 7(1).

\[^{18}\]The rights include so-called social and economic rights such as a right to have access to adequate housing (s 26), a right to have access to health care services, sufficient food and water, and social security (s 27), and a right to education (s 29). These rights have led to several leading cases decided by the Constitutional Court. For a brief overview see Malherbe “The development of social and economic rights in South Africa” 2005 *Zeitschrift für öffentliches Recht* 111-125.

\[^{19}\]S 8(1).

\[^{20}\]S 8(2) provides that “a provision in the Bill of Rights binds a natural or juristic person if, and to the extent that, it is applicable, taking into account the nature of the right and the nature of any duty imposed by the right”. This refers to the so-called horizontal application of the Bill of Rights. See Rautenbach IM “The bill of rights applies to private law and binds private persons” 2000 *Journal of South African Law (TSAR)* 296.

\[^{21}\]S 7(2).

\[^{22}\]S 36, the general limitation provision, reads as follows:

“(1) The rights in the Bill of Rights may be limited only in terms of law of general application to the extent that the limitation is reasonable and justifiable in an open and democratic society based on human dignity, equality and freedom, taking into account all relevant factors, including –
(a) the nature of the right;
(b) the importance of the purpose of the limitation;
(c) the nature and extent of the limitation;
(d) the relation between the limitation and its purpose; and
(e) less restrictive means to achieve the purpose.”
possible impact on the protection and advancement of human rights and freedoms, the Bill of Rights is interpreted in a generous and purposive fashion.\textsuperscript{23} Apart from enforcement by the courts, a special South African Human Rights Commission was established to promote a human rights culture and enhance the protection of human rights in South Africa.\textsuperscript{24}

The right to freedom of religion is guaranteed in the Bill of Rights without qualification. It has been accepted that the right protects the right and freedom to believe according to one’s convictions, and the right to manifest those beliefs and convictions, as is generally understood.\textsuperscript{25} Various other provisions of the Constitution relate to religion and religious freedom.\textsuperscript{26} The preamble to the Constitution concludes with the words “May God protect our people. God bless South Africa”, which incidentally is also the title of the South African national anthem. Section 9, the equality clause, prohibits unfair discrimination on various grounds, including religion.\textsuperscript{27} Section 15 allows religious observances in state and state-aided institutions.\textsuperscript{28} Section 15 also creates the possibility for the recognition of religious legal systems and marriages that are not inconsistent with the Constitution.\textsuperscript{29} Section 31 protects the right of persons belonging to a religious community to practise their religion together with other members of that community and form voluntary religious associations. Sections 185 and 186 provide for a commission for the promotion and protection of the rights of cultural, religious and linguistic communities. Of course, various rights, such as the right to human dignity, the right to freedom of expression, and the right to freedom of association, relate indirectly to the protection of religious freedom.

3 MOTIVATION FOR A CHARTER OF RELIGIOUS RIGHTS AND FREEDOMS

Given the constitutional framework, the obvious question is, why a South African Charter of Religious Rights and Freedoms?\textsuperscript{30} Aren’t there sufficient protection for religious rights in the Constitution? The argument for the Charter is thus as follows.

\textsuperscript{23} This approach was endorsed by the Constitutional Court in \textit{S v Makwanyane} 1995 6 BCLR 665 (CC) pars 9 and 10. See Rautenbach and Malherbe (n 14) 37 ff. In general in the application of the Bill of Rights see Rautenbach (n 14).

\textsuperscript{24} S 184 of the Constitution; also the South African Human Rights Commission Act 54 of 1994. Various other bodies assist in this endeavour, such as the Commission for Gender Equality, the Public Protector, and the Commission for the Promotion and Protection of the Rights of Cultural, Religious and Linguistic Communities. Depending on the state for funding and the implementation of their recommendations, the effectiveness of these bodies are questioned, though, and the courts remain the spearhead of human rights protection in South Africa.


\textsuperscript{26} Malherbe 2006 (n 24) 630.

\textsuperscript{27} S 9(3).

\textsuperscript{28} Such observances must follow the rules of the relevant institution, and must be conducted on a free, voluntary and equitable basis (s 15(2)). This constitutes one reason why a so-called strict wall of separation between religion and the state does not apply in South Africa – see n 53 below.

\textsuperscript{29} S 15(3).

\textsuperscript{30} This is not a completely novel idea – see Shelton D and Kiss A “A draft model law on freedom of religion, with commentary” in \textit{Van der Vyver and Witte (n 2) Vol I} 559-592. Two decades ago, a former judge of the Constitutional Court also floated the idea of a charter of religious rights and freedoms in the following terms: “Ideally in South Africa, all religious organizations and persons concerned with the study of religion would get
First of all, there is no doubt about the importance of religion in the lives of the majority of individuals and communities in South Africa. Nominally, about 70% of the population professes the Christian faith. The rest are spread among traditional African religions, Islam, Hinduism, the Jewish religion and a few others. Consequently, religious affairs, religious freedom, and the relationship between religion and the state in particular, are always under fairly sharp scrutiny. Generally speaking, religious freedom is respected in South Africa, and the relationship between religion and the state is healthy and mutually constructive. Of course, for the benefit of society, it should be kept that way. The fact that there is presently an absence of tension or conflict is not a convincing reason for not putting mechanisms in place to maintain the good relationship. History shows that the relationship between religion and the state is fragile and can deteriorate very rapidly. To fix things when they have already gone sour, is extremely difficult, and it is always sound strategy to act pro-actively. At the same time, under the influence of a steadily increasing secularism in society, there are indeed a few issues that require clarification in the Charter.

Second, the South African Constitution itself invites the initiative taken with the Charter. Maybe because South Africa has not fully emerged yet from the intense social engineering of the last two decades, the Constitution provides that “in order to deepen the culture of democracy established by the Constitution, Parliament may adopt Charters of Rights consistent with the provisions of the Constitution”. Any such charter of rights adopted by Parliament will then have the force of law. The Constitution envisages, in other words, that the rights in the Constitution may be further extended, supplemented and given content by way of such additional charters. The Constitution creates this space for additional charters of rights because most constitutional rights are described in cryptic, vague and general terms. The intention is that society, including the state by way of legislation and other measures, and the courts through their judgments, should over time flesh out these rights. For example, section 15 of the Constitution protects the right to religious freedom only in these few words, “everyone has the right to freedom of religion” and, apart from the provisions mentioned earlier, provides very little else about the content of the right.

Third, in the normal course of business, Parliament has indeed adopted specific pieces of legislation to flesh out the content and application of several rights in the Bill of Rights. The following examples may be mentioned:

(a) Section 9 (the right to equality): the Promotion of Equality and Prevention of Unfair Discrimination Act;

---

31 In Syndicat Northcrest v Amselem (2004) 2SCR 551 576, religion has been defined as follows: “In essence, religion is about freely and deeply held personal convictions or beliefs connected to an individual’s spiritual faith and integrally linked to one’s self-definition and spiritual fulfillment, the practices of which allow individuals to foster a connection with the divine or with the subject or object of that spiritual faith.”

32 For a comparison of developments in Canada, see Benson “The freedom of conscience and religion in Canada: challenges and opportunities” 2007 Emory International Law Review 111-165. See also his criticism of the false juxtaposition of the so-called “secular” versus religion (Benson “Considering secularism” in Farrow (Ed) Recognizing Religion in a Secular Society (2004) 83-98). See also De Freitas “Religion, legal scholarship and higher education: perspectives for the South African context” 2007 Acta Academica 45-66, who shows to what extent religion is being excluded from law studies under the influence of this false dichotomy.

33 S 234.

34 Act 4 of 2000.
(b) Section 23 (labour rights): the Labour Relations Act;\(^{35}\)
(c) Section 32 (the right to access to information): the Promotion of Access to Information Act;\(^{36}\)
(d) Section 33 (the right to administrative justice): the Promotion of Administrative Justice Act.\(^{37}\)

The Constitutional Court explained with reference to the Promotion of Equality and Prevention of Unfair Discrimination Act that the Act represents Parliament’s response to the duty imposed on it by the Constitution to adopt legislation giving effect to the equality clause.\(^{38}\) The Court accepts the validity of the Act unless its constitutionality is directly challenged, and is therefore obliged to apply the Act before reverting to section 9 of the Constitution.\(^{39}\) Clearly, this would be true of any piece of legislation giving effect to a right protected by the Constitution, including the proposed Charter, should it become an Act of Parliament.

Fourth, directly or indirectly, numerous other acts give effect to the rights in the Constitution as well, as in the case of health care, housing, education, the environment, the rights of children, the right to vote, the right to have access to the courts, and the rights of arrested and accused persons and prisoners. Actually, very few rights in a modern bill of rights can be exercised properly without a comprehensive framework of supporting legislation dealing with the particulars relating to the exercise of every right.

Section 234 of the Constitution creates yet another opportunity for legislation supplementing the Bill of Rights, in this case in respect of religious freedom. The space created by section 234 provides religious communities with an opportunity to take the initiative in a matter that is crucially important to every religious person and institution. In an open, free and democratic society it should not be left only to the state to determine the content of constitutional rights. Civil structures such as religious communities should make a contribution in areas in which they have a direct interest and of which they have intimate knowledge. It is as much the function and responsibility of civil society as of the state to define the content of constitutional rights, and in so doing, to contribute to setting the boundaries between the individual and the state. If, through a process of consultation and negotiation, religious communities succeed in submitting to the state a consensus proposal for a charter of religious rights and freedoms, the state will be hard pressed not to take it seriously, or impose its own views instead. Thus, through the proposed Charter, religious communities will be able to leave their own significant imprint on the content of the right to freedom of religion.

Moreover, the right to freedom of religion is an important and effective mechanism regulating the relationship between religion and the state. By providing more particulars about the content of the right to freedom of religion, the Charter will outline even more clearly the relationship between religion and the state, and will contribute significantly to secure the present good relationship between them. This relationship, in terms of which freedom of religion and the authority of religious institutions over their own affairs are recognised, and religion and religious communities enjoy impartial protection in the state, is stipulated unequivocally in the Charter.

\(^{35}\) Act 66 of 1995.
\(^{36}\) Act 2 of 2000.
\(^{37}\) Act 3 of 2000.
\(^{38}\) MEC for Education, KwaZulu-Natal v Pillay 2008 2 BCLR 99 (CC) – see the discussion of the case by Malherbe R “Kulturele en religieuse diversiteit moet gevier en nie gevrees word (Cultural and religious diversity should be celebrated and not feared)” 2008 TSAR 367-375. See also Minister of Health v New Clicks (Pty) Ltd 2006 1 BCLR 1 (CC) par 437.
\(^{39}\) Pillay case (n 36) par 40.
On the other hand, by leaving the right to freedom of religion undefined in the Constitution, one actually accepts that the content of the right will be determined on an ad hoc basis through court decisions and other measures, in other words, as questions, issues and difficulties occur from time to time. This is an incremental and piecemeal process over which religious communities have little control. Section 234, on the contrary, creates the opportunity to put on the table a charter in which the content of the right is spelled out more fully in a single act of Parliament.

Throughout history conflict between religion and the state occurred from time to time in most countries and there were even periods of large scale religious persecution in some countries. In South Africa, as well, issues sometimes occur over which religious communities differ from the state or where the state take steps that either limit religious freedom or at least test the divide between religion and the state. By defining this relationship clearly in an act of Parliament, future unwarranted state interference with religion, as well as uncertainty, misunderstanding and unnecessary conflict between religion and the state, can be prevented.

Finally, there are useful international examples of additional protection for freedom of religion. All the primary international human rights instruments refer to the right to freedom of religion, but not a single one elaborates on the content of the right. (See for example the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Convention on Civil and Political Rights, the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms, and the African Charter for Human and Peoples’ Rights.) That is why the Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief was adopted in 1981, followed by the Declaration on the Rights of Persons Belonging to National or Ethnic, Religious and Linguistic Minorities in 1992. Both these instruments elaborate quite significantly on the content of the right to freedom of religion.

Domestically as well as internationally, therefore, there are precedents for the proposed Charter.

An objection sometimes heard requiring a response is that conferring rights on people may lead to abuse and that the Charter may open the door to various undesirable or objectionable beliefs and practices. It is a popular claim that the South African Bill of Rights protects criminals and not their victims. If understood and applied properly, that is not the result of any bill or charter of rights and freedoms. First, every right implies a responsibility, because everyone entitled to a particular right has the responsibility to respect the reciprocal right of another. That is why rights never apply without limitations – any right naturally imposes a limitation on the rights of another. Simply put, one person’s right to freedom of movement is automatically limited by another person’s freedom of movement. If rights prove not to be protected effectively,

---

40 See the references in n 2 above. It would seem that in certain parts of the globe religious intolerance and persecution has again reached alarming levels over the last decade.
41 A recent example of a serious difference between religion and the state was the legalization of same-sex marriages. See Minister of Home Affairs v Fourie 2006 3 BCLR 355 (CC), in which the provision in the Marriage Act 25 of 1961, reserving marriage for a union between a man and a woman, was declared unconstitutional. Despite the objections of most religious communities, the subsequent legislation made by Parliament, the Civil Union Act 17 of 2006, legalized same-sex marriages, but eventually exempted objecting clergy from solemnizing such marriages or civil unions – the only concession won by religion in this disagreement. For developments in Canada see Benson (n 30) 141 ff.
42 Art 18.
43 Art 18.
44 Art 9.
45 Art 1.
it is therefore not so much the bill of rights itself that is at fault, but rather its ineffective enforcement. Second, as is the case with any other right, everyone is supposed to exercise the rights in the Charter within the framework of the law of the land. The charter does not legalise or even open the door to any belief or practice that may involve or give rise to criminal activities or any action otherwise prohibited by law. Such actions may continue to be prohibited or limited lawfully in terms of the limitation provisions of the Constitution.

4 CONTENT OF THE CHARTER

The Charter basically contains the main elements of the right to freedom of religion as it is generally understood. It also contains elements protected by other rights guaranteed in the Constitution. Following a preamble that sets the scene, the most significant rights and freedoms stipulated in the Charter may be summarised as follows (see the Annexure for the full text):

(a) Every person has the right to believe according to their own convictions and to make choices regarding their convictions and religious affiliation (art 1).

(b) No person may be forced in any way in respect of their religion or convictions, or to act against their convictions (art 2). This includes the right to change one’s faith, religion or religious affiliation, the right to have one’s convictions reasonably accommodated, the right to refuse to perform certain duties, participate in certain activities, or deliver certain services, the right to have one’s convictions taken into account in receiving or withholding medical treatment, and the right not to be subjected to force or indoctrination that may destroy or compromise one’s religion or beliefs.

(c) Every person has the right to the impartiality and protection of the state in respect of religion (art 3). As is the case with any other right, the state must create a positive and safe environment for the exercise of religious freedom, but it may not promote, favour or prejudice any faith or religion, and may not indoctrinate anyone in respect

---

47 See eg the brief overview of Malherbe 2006 (n 24) 632-635; also “The constitutionality of government policy relating to the conduct of religious observances in public schools” 2002 Journal of South African Law (TSAR) 391 397-398. The most comprehensive recent study in South Africa on the content of the right can be found in Van der Schyff G The Right to Freedom of Religion in South Africa (2001 LLM dissertation, Rand Afrikaans University, Johannesburg). The various contributions in Van der Vyver and Witte (n 2) are equally valuable.

48 Eg the right to freedom of expression (s 16), and the right to freedom of association (s 18). For useful discussions of the rights protected in the Bill of Rights, see the references in n 14.

49 This includes the right to change one’s religion — see eg Walkate “The right of everyone to change his religion or belief” 1983 Netherlands International Law Review 146.

50 The principle of reasonable accommodation appears in s 14(3)(i) of the Promotion of Equality and Prevention of Unfair Discrimination Act 4 of 2000, and was applied by the Constitutional Court in MEC for Education, KwaZulu-Natal v Pillay 2008 2 BCLR 99 (CC) par 72 ff. See the comments in this regard on the UN Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief (1981) by Benson (n 30) 164.

51 A good example is that a medical professional may refuse on religious grounds to perform or assist in an abortion, unless there are compelling reasons not to refuse, for example when the mother’s life is in danger.

52 Whenever parents act on behalf of their children, the best interest of the child determines the outcome — see eg Hay v B 2003 3 SA 492 (W). This is now a constitutional principle — s 28(2) of the Constitution.

53 Sometimes referred to as the state non-identification principle. Note the use of the term “impartiality” instead of “neutrality”. See eg Sandsmark S Is World View Neutral Education Possible and Desirable? A Christian Response to Liberal Arguments (2000) 87 ff on the logical inconsistency of a so-called neutral approach. Neutrality requires taking distance from something, which may lead to hostility, whereas impartiality requires the state to be constructively engaged in order to level the playing field for everybody.
of religion. No person may be unfairly discriminated against on the ground of religion.\footnote{This prohibition also forms part of the equality clause in s 9 of the Constitution.}

(d) Every person has the right to the private or public, and the individual or joint, observance or exercise of their convictions (art 4). Religious observances may take various forms.\footnote{It includes rules relating to attire, appearance and diet. A prohibition of, or limitation on, the wearing of head or facial coverings (an issue in Western Europe) will have to be justified in terms of the general limitation clause, s 36 of the Constitution. The right also includes access to sacred places and burial sites relevant to one’s convictions (art 4.1), but in South African law it does not include a right to be buried anywhere, eg on another’s property (Nkosi v Bührmann 2002 1 SA 372 (SCA)).} The right includes the right to associate with others, and form and join religious associations,\footnote{See s 18 and 31 of the Constitution.} to observe sacred days of rest, festivals and ceremonies, and to conduct single-faith religious observances in state or state-aided institutions.\footnote{As mentioned, such observances must follow the rules of the relevant institution and must be conducted freely, voluntarily and equitably (s 15(2) of the Constitution). As confirmed by the Constitutional Court in \textit{S v Lawrence} (n 24) par 101-102, s 15(2) makes it clear that a so-called establishment clause does not exist under the South African Constitution. The state in any case has a duty to act positively in order to respect, protect, promote and facilitate (s 7(2)) the right to freedom of religion, as in the case of all other rights (see Malherbe 1998 (n 24) 688-690).}

(e) Every person has the right to maintain traditions and systems of religious personal, matrimonial and family law that are consistent with the Constitution (art 5).\footnote{S 15(3) of the Constitution provides that such systems may be recognized by law, as was done eg in terms of the Recognition of Customary Marriages Act 120 of 1998.}

(f) Every person has the right to freedom of expression in respect of religion (art 6).\footnote{Freedom of expression is protected in s 16 of the Constitution.} This includes the right to make public statements, produce religious publications, and conduct scholarly research, the right to communicate with others, and the right to share one’s convictions with another consenting person.\footnote{Proselytizing is prohibited in some countries, but is protected by the Charter as long as it happens voluntarily.} Religious institutions have the right to have access to public media on an equitable basis. Every person has the right to religious dignity, which includes not to be victimised, ridiculed or slandered on the basis of their convictions.\footnote{It includes that no person may advocate hatred that is based on religion, and that constitutes incitement to violence or to cause physical harm – which is not protected speech under s 16(2) of the Constitution. This specific limitation on the right has to be applied with circumspection so as not to stifle healthy debate.}

(g) Every person has the right to be educated in accordance with their religious or philosophical convictions (art 7).\footnote{See eg Art 5 of the Declaration on the Elimination of All Forms of Intolerance and of Discrimination Based on Religion or Belief (1981). Benson (n 30) 165 actually proposes that a duty be imposed on the state to provide support for education across a diversity of belief systems.} The state must respect this right, and parents may withdraw their children from activities and programs inconsistent with their convictions. An educational institution may adopt a particular religious or other ethos, as long as it is observed equitably, voluntarily and in a non-discriminatory way. Every private educational institution may impart its religious convictions to all children enrolled in the institution. Every person has the right to receive and provide religious education (art 8).

(h) Every religious institution has the right to institutional freedom of religion, and has authority over its own affairs (art 9). Every religious institution may determine its own confessions, doctrines and ordinances, and may regulate its internal affairs,
which include matters relating to structures and procedures, office-bearers and employees, and membership, in accordance with the principles of tolerance, fairness, openness and accountability. The state may not regulate or prescribe matters of doctrine and ordinances. The confidentiality of the internal affairs and communications of a religious institution must be respected insofar as the interest of justice permits. Every religious institution is subject to the law of the land. Non-observance of a law resulting from the exercise of the rights in the Charter must be justified.

(i) Every person has the right, for religious purposes, to receive, manage and spend voluntary financial and other contributions (art 11).

(j) Every person has the right, on religious grounds, and in accordance with their convictions or ethos, to conduct relief, upliftment, social justice, developmental, charity or welfare work (art 12).

As explained, an enacted Charter will supplement or flesh out the right to freedom of religion in the Constitution, and will accordingly be subject to the Constitution. In any inconsistency between the Charter and the Constitution, the latter will prevail. Any limitation of the rights protected in the Charter must comply with the general limitation clause in section 36 of the Constitution.

5 CONCLUSION

Where do we presently stand with the Charter? The sponsors, basically a few academics and a few religious leaders, have been engaged in this exercise for well over two years. After wide consultations with most religious communities, consideration of numerous written comments, and several redrafts which have all been circulated among religious communities, we now have the formal or informal support of most religious communities and institutions in South Africa. Although such wide support elevates the status of the Charter, it is still not a final document. Basically, it remains a proposal, and further amendments may be effected pursuant to the processes still lying ahead. The next immediate step is taken on the 21st of October, when a conference will be held at which occasion all religious communities and leaders will receive the opportunity to express their support for the Charter publicly. Those not able to attend may endorse the Charter in writing. At the conference the formation of a representative continuation committee or council that can take the Charter forward will be proposed. The council will consider any further comments and amendments from institutions and communities that have endorsed the Charter in principle, and will engage the government with the objective to present to it a final document, with the request that it be enacted into law in terms of section 234 of the Constitution.

South Africans learned not to be show-offs, or to count their chickens before they are hatched. If we are successful in this endeavour, it will probably not make headlines. An absence of publicity will, however, not diminish the significance of the achievement. First, I believe the Charter represents a major step in the effective protection of the right to freedom of religion, and will provide a firm foundation for ensuring a healthy relationship between religion and the state.

63 As confirmed in eg Taylor v Kurtstag NO 2005 7 BCLR 705 (W).
64 Social involvement of religious communities is the result of their convictions, and they could hardly be expected to conduct their activities in a non-religious way.
65 See the wording of s 36 in n 21.
As such, the Charter may provide guidance in the sensitive area of religious freedom not only for South Africa, but internationally as well.

Second, the Charter can secure the tolerant spirit prevailing among religious communities in South Africa. Compared to many other countries, South Africa is particularly blessed in this respect, and insofar as the Charter can enhance the prevailing spirit, South Africans should do their utmost to maintain and strengthen the cordial relationship among the different religions. Maybe the idea of the Charter was indeed born as a result of this good relationship, because it may be easier to reach consensus on its contents in an atmosphere of mutual trust and goodwill than in an atmosphere of mistrust and animosity. The Charter will also deepen our understanding of basic values of justice, love, compassion, human dignity, democracy, rights and responsibilities, and basic good relations with others. As such, the Charter can make an invaluable contribution in determining the rightful place of religion in society, an issue with which many countries struggle from time to time. The Charter is an impartial document not favouring one religion over another. It simply recognises that South Africa is a multi-religious nation. It requires South Africans to respect in a spirit of tolerance the beliefs of others in recognition of one another’s religious freedom and human dignity. It is through tolerance that religious communities will be able to co-exist in peace and harmony, and that each will earn respect for their own convictions and beliefs.

Religious communities should now take ownership of this initiative. This is not the initiative of a particular religion, community or committee. If religious communities embrace the Charter as their own, join hands in taking it forward, and interact with the government with one voice, the Charter will prove to be a milestone in the protection of religious rights and freedoms and in securing a stable, constructive and co-operative relationship between religion and the state.
ANNEXURE

SOUTH AFRICAN CHARTER OF RELIGIOUS RIGHTS
AND FREEDOMS
(As amended 6th August and 1st October 2009)

PREAMBLE

1. WHEREAS human beings have inherent dignity, and a capacity and need to believe and organise their beliefs in accordance with their foundational documents, tenets of faith or traditions; and

2. WHEREAS this capacity and need determine their lives and are worthy of protection; and

3. WHEREAS religious belief embraces all of life, including the state, and the constitutional recognition and protection of the right to freedom of religion is an important mechanism for the equitable regulation of the relationship between the state and religious institutions; and

4. WHEREAS religious institutions are entitled to enjoy recognition, protection and co-operation in a constitutional state as institutions with authority over their own affairs; and

5. WHEREAS it is recognised that rights impose the corresponding duty on everyone in society to respect the rights of others; and

6. WHEREAS the state through its governing institutions has the responsibility to govern justly, constructively and impartially in the interest of everybody in society; and

7. WHEREAS religious belief may deepen our understanding of justice, love, compassion, cultural diversity, democracy, human dignity, equality, freedom, rights and obligations, as well as our understanding of the importance of community and relationships in our lives and in society, and may therefore contribute to the common good; and

8. WHEREAS the recognition and effective protection of the rights of religious communities and institutions will contribute to a spirit of mutual respect and tolerance among the people of South Africa,

NOW THEREFORE THE FOLLOWING South African Charter of Religious Rights and Freedoms is hereby enacted:
1. Every person has the right to believe according to their own religious or philosophical beliefs or convictions (hereinafter convictions), and to choose which faith, worldview, religion, or religious institution to subscribe to, affiliate with or belong to.

2. No person may be forced to believe, what to believe or what not to believe, or to act against their convictions.
   
   2.1 Every person has the right to change their faith, religion, convictions or religious institution, or to form a new religious community or religious institution.
   
   2.2 Every person has the right to have their convictions reasonably accommodated.
   
   2.3 Every person has the right on the ground of their convictions to refuse (a) to perform certain duties, or to participate or indirectly to assist in, certain activities, such as of a military or educational nature, or (b) to deliver, or to refer for, certain services, including medical or related (including pharmaceutical) services or procedures.
   
   2.4 Every person has the right to have their convictions taken into account in receiving or withholding medical treatment.
   
   2.5 No person may be subjected to any form of force or indoctrination that may destroy, change or compromise their religion, beliefs or worldview.

3. Every person has the right to the impartiality and protection of the state in respect of religion.

   3.1 The state must create a positive and safe environment for the exercise of religious freedom, but may not promote, favour or prejudice a particular faith, religion or conviction, and may not indoctrinate anyone in respect of religion. In approving a plan for the development of land, the state must consider religious needs.
   
   3.2 No person may be unfairly discriminated against on the ground of their faith, religion, or religious affiliation.

4. Subject to the duty of reasonable accommodation and the need to provide essential services, every person has the right to the private or public, and individual or joint, observance or exercise of their convictions, which may include but are not limited to reading and discussion of sacred texts, confession, proclamation, worship, prayer, witness, arrangements, attire, appearance, diet, customs, rituals and pilgrimages, and the observance of religious and other sacred days of rest, festivals and ceremonies.

   4.1 Every person has the right to private access to sacred places and burial sites relevant to their convictions. Such access, and the preservation of such places and sites, must be regulated within the law and with due regard for property rights.
   
   4.2 Every person has the right to associate with others, and to form, join and maintain religious and other associations, institutions and denominations, organise religious meetings and other collective activities, and establish and maintain places of religious practice, the sanctity of which shall be respected.
   
   4.3 Every person has the right to communicate within the country and internationally with individuals and institutions, and to travel, visit, meet and enter into relationships or association with them.
4.4 Every person has the right to conduct single-faith religious observances, expression and activities in state or state-aided institutions, as long as such observances, expression and activities follow rules made by the appropriate public authorities, are conducted on an equitable basis, and attendance at them is free and voluntary.

5 Every person has the right to maintain traditions and systems of religious personal, matrimonial and family law that are consistent with the Constitution. Legislation that is consistent with the Constitution may be made to recognise marriages concluded under any tradition, or a system of religious, personal or family law, or to recognise systems of personal and family law under any tradition, or adhered to by persons professing a particular religion.

6 Every person has the right to freedom of expression in respect of religion.

6.1 Every person has the right (a) to make public statements and participate in public debate on religious grounds, (b) to produce, publish and disseminate religious publications and other religious material, and (c) to conduct scholarly research and related activities in accordance with their convictions.

6.2 Every person has the right to share their convictions with another consenting person.

6.3 Every religious institution has the right to have access to public media which access must be regulated fairly.

6.4 Every person has the right to religious dignity, which includes not to be victimised, ridiculed or slandered on the ground of their faith, religion, convictions or religious activities. No person may advocate hatred that is based on religion, and that constitutes incitement to violence or to cause physical harm.

7 Every person has the right to be educated or to educate their children, or have them educated, in accordance with their religious or philosophical convictions.

7.1 The state, including any public school, has the duty to respect this right and to inform and consult with parents on these matters. Parents may withdraw their children from school activities or programs inconsistent with their religious or philosophical convictions.

7.2 Every educational institution may adopt a particular religious or other ethos, as long as it is observed in an equitable, free, voluntary and non-discriminatory way, and with due regard to the rights of minorities.

7.3 Every private educational institution established on the basis of a particular religion, philosophy or faith may impart its religious or other convictions to all children enrolled in that institution, and may refuse to promote, teach or practice any religious or other conviction other than its own. Children enrolled in that institution (or their parents) who do not subscribe to the religious or other convictions practised in that institution waive their right to insist not to participate in the religious activities of the institution.

8 Every person has the right to receive and provide religious education, training and instruction. The state may subsidise such education, training and instruction.
9 Every religious institution has the right to institutional freedom of religion.

9.1 Every religious institution has the right (a) to determine its own confessions, doctrines and ordinances, (b) to decide for itself in all matters regarding its doctrines and ordinances, and (c) in accordance with the principles of tolerance, fairness, openness and accountability to regulate its own internal affairs, including organisational structures and procedures, the ordination, conditions of service, discipline and dismissal of office-bearers and members, the appointment, conditions of employment and dismissal of employees and volunteers, and membership requirements.

9.2 Every religious institution is recognised and protected as an institution that has authority over its own affairs, and towards which the state, through its governing institutions, is responsible for just, constructive and impartial government in the interest of everybody.

9.3 The state, including the judiciary, must respect the authority of every religious institution over its own affairs, and may not regulate or prescribe matters of doctrine and ordinances.

9.4 The confidentiality of the internal affairs and communications of a religious institution must be respected. The privileged nature of any religious communication that has been made with an expectation of confidentiality must be respected insofar as the interest of justice permits.

9.5 Every religious institution is subject to the law of the land. A religious institution must be able to justify any non-observance of a law resulting from the exercise of the rights in this Charter.

10 The state may allow tax, charitable and other benefits to any religious institution that qualifies as a juristic person.

11 Every person has the right, for religious purposes and in furthering their objectives, to solicit, receive, manage, allocate and spend voluntary financial and other forms of support and contributions. The confidentiality of such support and contributions must be respected.

12 Every person has the right on religious or other grounds, and in accordance with their ethos, and irrespective of whether they receive state-aid, and of whether they serve persons with different convictions, to conduct relief, upliftment, social justice, developmental, charity and welfare work in the community, establish, maintain and contribute to charity and welfare associations, and solicit, manage, distribute and spend funds for this purpose.
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